

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/781,077	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FABIAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert P. Swiatek	<b>Art Unit</b> 3643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Barry L. Kelmacher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 July 2007
**Time:** =

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Possible 35 USC 103(a)*
**Claims discussed:**

3, 4, 21, 23-29, 32

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Kay (US 4,687,691), Cundiff (US 6,156,146), Watkins et al. (US 2005/0095380 A1)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicants' representative authorized changes to claims 3, 21, 29 to recite the honeycomb cells as being filled with fiberglass; approval was given to cancel claims 4, 23-28, and 32. See examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)